

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/632,183	07/31/2003	Gerald Keith Bartley	ROC920030078US1 9831	
7590 09/19/2005			EXAMINER	
Robert R. Williams			TAT, BINH C	
IBM Corporation	on			
Dept. 917			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
3605 Highway 52 North			2825	
Rochester, MN	J 55901		DATE MAIL ED: 09/19/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/632,183	BARTLEY ET AL.	/hn
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Binh C. Tat	2825	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addres	ss
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period varieties to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this commu D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 Ju 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		erits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 9 and 10 is/are withd 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-8 and 11-14 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	rawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10)☒ The drawing(s) filed on 31 July 2003 is/are: a)☐ Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	☑ accepted or b)☐ objected to be drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1	• •
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Application rity documents have been received a (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Sta	ge
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P		2)

Application/Control Number: 10/632,183

Art Unit: 2825

DETAILED ACTION

1. This office action is in response to application 10/632183 filed on 07/31/03.

Claims 1-13 remain pending in the application.

Election/Restrictions

This application contains claim groups directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Group Invention

I. Claims 1-8, and 11-14draw to method for creating customized mesh planes in electronic package.

II. Claims 9-10, drawn to apparatus with customized mesh plane defined by a plurality of uniformly spaced apart horizontal and vertical mesh trace.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

During a telephone conversation with Joan Pennington on September 14, 2005 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, Miss Joan Pennington elected group I claims 1-8, and 11-14 without traverse and canceled claims 9-10.

Application/Control Number: 10/632,183 Page 3

Art Unit: 2825

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement is traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 1-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Baras et al. (U.S. Patent 2004/0188138).
- As to claims 1, and 11 Baras et al. teaches a method for creating customized mesh planes in electronic packages comprising the steps of: receiving electronic package physical design data (see fig 11 element 1102 and paragraph 0039); comparing signal traces (see element 1105, and 1104) in each adjacent plane to a mesh plane with a mesh layout of the mesh plane (see fig 9-11 and paragraph 0034-0040); identifying signal traces adjacent to mesh holes (see element 1102) in the mesh layout (see fig 9-11 element 1102 and paragraph 0034-0040); selecting a fill method to replace selected mesh holes with added mesh structure aligned with the identified signal traces (see fig 7, 9, 11 and element 1106 paragraph 0033-0036 and 0039-0040).
- 5. As to claims 2, and 12 Baras et al. teaches wherein the step of selecting said fill method includes the steps of providing a plurality of fill methods, said fill methods including selected ones of a crosshair fill method, a single line fill method, a signal mirror fill method, a mesh

Application/Control Number: 10/632,183

Art Unit: 2825

shifting fill method, a corner fill method, and a complete fill method; and selecting one or a combination of said fill methods (see fig 7, 9, 11 and element 1106 paragraph 0033-0036 and 0039-0040 and background).

- 6. As to claims 3, Baras et al. teaches wherein the step of selecting said fill method includes selecting a crosshair fill method to replace selected mesh holes with a crosshair mesh structure aligned with the identified signal traces (see fig 9-11 element 1102 and paragraph 0034-0040).
- 7. As to claims 4, Baras et al. teaches wherein the step of selecting said fill method includes selecting a single line fill method to replace selected mesh holes with a single line mesh structure aligned with the identified signal traces (see fig 9-11 element 1102 and paragraph 0034-0040).
- 8. As to claims 5, Baras et al. teaches wherein the step of selecting said fill method includes selecting a corner fill method to replace selected mesh holes with a corner fill mesh structure aligned with the identified signal traces (see fig 9-11 element 1102 and paragraph 0034-0040).
- 9. As to claims 6, Baras et al. teaches wherein the step of selecting said fill method includes selecting a complete fill method to replace selected mesh holes with a complete fill mesh structure aligned with the identified signal traces (see fig 7, 9, 11 and element 1106 paragraph 0033-0036 and 0039-0040 and background and summary)
- 10. As to claims 7, Baras et al. teaches wherein the step of selecting said fill method includes selecting a signal mirror fill method to replace selected mesh holes with a signal mirror mesh structure substantially aligned with all of the signal traces (see fig 7, 9, 11 and element 1106 paragraph 0033-0036 and 0039-0040 and background).
- 11. As to claims 8, Baras et al. teaches wherein the step of selecting said fill method includes selecting a crosshair fill method to replace selected mesh holes with a crosshair mesh structure

Application/Control Number: 10/632,183 Page 5

Art Unit: 2825

aligned with the identified signal traces', and a single line fill method to replace other selected mesh holes with a single line mesh structure aligned with the identified signal traces (see fig 11 element 1102 and paragraph 0039).

- 12. As to claims 13, Baras et al. teaches wherein the step of selecting said fill method includes the steps of selecting one or a combination of said stored fill methods (see fig 11 element 1102 and paragraph 0039).
- 13. As to claims 14, Baras et al. teaches wherein the step of selecting said fill method includes the steps of storing manufacturing design rules, and selecting said fill method responsive to said stored manufacturing design rules (see fig 9-11 element 1102 and paragraph 0034-0040).

Art Unit: 2825

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Binh C. Tat whose telephone number is 571 272-1908. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30 - 4:00 (M-F).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mathew Smith can be reached on 571 272-1907. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Binh Tat Art unit 2825 March 20 2004

Paul Dinh